

ORDER THE HERALD TO
YOUR VACATION ADDRESS

TRIBUNE-CITIZEN,
Vol. 26, No. 36.

The Evening Herald

WE Realize Today that Albuquerque is the Home of a Real University.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO, WEDNESDAY, MAY 27, 1914

THE EVENING HERALD
VOL. 4, NO. 69.

COMMENCEMENT EXERCISES TODAY END STATE UNIVERSITY'S BANNER YEAR

GRADUATING CLASS THE LARGEST ON RECORD

Rodey Hall Crowded with
Citizens and Distinguished
Visitors to Join in Interest-
ing Program.

THOUGHTFUL ADDRESS
BY HERBERT W. CLARK

Able Las Vegas Lawyer Says
Hope for Solution of Vexing
Problems of State Lies in
Education. Martinez a
Speaker.

Marked by the largest graduating class in the history of the institution, the eighteenth commencement of the University of New Mexico was held in Rodey hall this morning. The exercises began at 10 o'clock. They were largely attended.

The commencement speaker was Hon. Herbert W. Clark of Las Vegas. An extra feature in the program was an address by Hon. John Martinez. Mr. Martinez, passing through here on his way to Las Vegas, where he is to deliver the commencement address at the Normal school, was induced to stop over and attend the exercises by Dr. David Ives Boyd, the university president.

The platform was decorated with pointed palms and flags. A basket of flowers graced the speakers' desk.

Just before the exercises commenced, the graduates in cap and gown, marched in, down the aisle, the normal class leading, followed by the candidates for the degree of bachelor of arts. Behind them came the faculty in academic robes, followed by the state band, the band of the state rights of the individual and look to the collective needs of society. It is necessary that the state do for society what is required to promote the common welfare and progress—what cannot be done by individuals as efficiently as it can be done by the state. Through this communication brought about and forced by the highly complex nature of our present social and economic conditions, as compared with fifty years ago, society has awakened to the realization that in order that you and I may best work out our destiny through the only medium we have available, it is necessary for the state to see beyond the individual rights of the individual and look to the collective needs of society. It is necessary that the state do for society what is required to promote the common welfare and progress—what cannot be done by individuals as efficiently as it can be done by the state.

Through this communication brought about and forced by the highly complex nature of our present social and economic conditions, as compared with fifty years ago, society has awakened to the realization that in order that you and I may best work out our destiny through the only medium we have available, it is necessary for the state to see beyond the individual rights of the individual and look to the collective needs of society. It is necessary that the state do for society what is required to promote the common welfare and progress—what cannot be done by individuals as efficiently as it can be done by the state.

The commencement address followed. Mr. Clark spoke on "The University and the State." It was an eloquent, forceful plea for the development of citizenship, and an argument for the advancement of the state through the subordination of the individual to the common good.

Mr. Clark's Address.

We all agree, I think, that the very least a state should do is to protect our personal and property rights and care in the administration of justice. There can be no disputing that this is the minimum duty the state owes to you and to me. But the state has gone far, it has driven, much further than that. We must have laws regulating the hours of labor among employees, laws prohibiting child-labor, laws prescribing compensation for injured working men, restricting hunting and fishing, prohibiting the so-called white-slave traffic, providing a minimum wage scale; regulating the length of hot pine; regulating railroad rates, the terms of combination of capital. It is well known, in some quarters that the government should and must go into the telephone, telephone and railroad business, and it sometimes looks as if but a few years will bring about that vast economic and governmental change. In the midst of it all one is compelled to pause and ask one's self if the individual has any personal rights left.

At the present moment it is prohibited from going over into the state of Colorado to work in the coal mines. It sometimes looks as if we are rapidly moving toward a state of affairs in which you and I will have to obtain a certificate under seal from either the national or the state government before we will be permitted to speak to each other. The department of state, national government has just announced that it will conduct an investigation to ascertain what is right for the Ford Motor company laid off 1,000 of its employees. We are constantly attempting by legislation to remedy economic and social conditions. We are trying to translate the cry for the pure deal and social justice into statutory provisions and commandments without many of us knowing just exactly what we desire to accomplish. We want laws providing for the recall of public officers, for the recall of judicial decisions and for the initiation of legislation directly by the people. A good many want these things without stopping to think that it will help the honor of the individual citizen that of course citizens for the state of New Mexico and for the United States. It should train young men and young women in such a way that they, after leaving here, will more readily see and appreciate the changes that are constantly taking place in society and will each do his part intelligently in assisting to mould and guide public opinion so

DESCENDANT OF 25 BARONS SEVERELY REBUKED

English Justice Expresses Contempt for Great Nobleman Who Descended to Angle for Beer Sale Commissions.

[By Leased Wire to Evening Herald.]

London, May 27.—Lord Saye and Sele, controller of the king's household and a direct descendant of one of the twenty-five barons entrusted with the enforcement by King John of the provisions of Magna Charta, was today made the subject of a searching rebuke by Justice Darling when sentence was pronounced on the nine British army officers and eight civilians convicted of conspiring to offer or accept bribes in connection with the allotment of army contracts.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a man or individual afterward.

It looks to me as if we are now in this country beginning to fight some of the questions that were fought out in Paris. Don't misunderstand me. I don't mean that we will have a bloody revolution; I do mean that we will have a revolution nevertheless. We are fighting over the old question of whether we should attempt to make a man first and a citizen afterward, if we can, or a citizen first and a